



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent.and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,470	03/09/2000	Hiroshi Katakura	000267	3147
23850	7590 01/30/2004		EXAMINER DO, CHAT C	
	, , ,	OS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP		
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006		2124	14
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/522,470	KATAKURA ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chat C. Do	2124			
		- '- '			

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See below.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: 7
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,8 and 13.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

'ĝárt 5(c): As clearly recited in the previous Office Action, Freeman discloses in Figure 2 a logic circuit comprising: a first inversion section (21) for inverting a first input signal (A) having one of positive logic and negative logic and outputting the inverted signal (bar(A)); a second inversion section (22) for inverting a second input signal (B) having the other the positive logic and the negative logic and outputting the inverted signal (bar(B)); and a transmission section (transmission lines that connect all signals to 23-26) for selectively outputting one of the inverted first input signal of first inversion section (output controls by C2 and bar(C2)) and the inverted second input signal of second inversion section (output controls by C3 and bar(C3)) in accordance with a logical value which depends upon an externally controllable selection signal (Cs) and an inverted signal of the selection signal (bar(Cs)).

In addition, the claim language in claim 1 does not state or support that the second input signal is the opposite logic as the first input signal as cited in the applicant argument. Based on the claim language, Figure 2 in Freeman clearly discloses the control signal as cited only in the claim. Claim 2 has claimed similar features as cited in claim 1. However, the second control signal is applied the

transmission selection to control the final output instead of the inverters as in claim 1.

KAKALI CHAG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100